

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<b>In re Application of:</b>	Robert A. Luciano, Jr. <i>et al.</i>	<b>Examiner:</b>	Hsu, Ryan
<b>Application No.:</b>	10/750,275	<b>Group Art Unit:</b>	3713
<b>Filing Date:</b>	December 30, 2003	<b>Confirmation No.</b>	9180
<b>Office Action Date:</b>	September 6, 2006	<b>Docket No.</b>	83336-0989
<b>Title:</b>	VOUCHER GAMING SYSTEM AND METHOD	<b>Customer No.</b>	30076

Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**AMENDMENT / REPLY AFTER NONFINAL OFFICE ACTION**

This amendment is timely filed in response to the Office action of September 6, 2006.

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims, which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 8 of this paper.

**INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

Claims 1, 3-20, 23-31, 33-37, 39-41 and 43-46 are pending in the present application.

Claims 1, 20, 33 and 41 stand rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1-6 of Luciano *et al.* (US 6,685,559). Claims 1, 3-14, 17-20, 25-31, 33-35, 37, 39-41 and 44-46 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilms (US 5,277,424) and Congello, Jr. (US 6,296,569), and further in view of Rowe *et al.* (US 6,6822,421). Claims 15-16, 23-24, 36, and 43 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilms and Congello, Jr. and Rowe *et al.* as applied to claims above, and further view of Skratulia (US 5,690,335). Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejected claims.